

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,945	07/03/2003	Fangcheng Gong	CL001155CIPDIV	4691
25748	7590 11/22/2005		EXAM	INER
CELERA GE	NOMICS	STEADMAN, DAVID J		
		VICE PRES, INTEL PROPERTY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
45 WEST GUI	DE DRIVE		<u> </u>	TALER NOMBER
C2-4#20	MD 20850		1656	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Ap	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		10	0/611,945	GONG ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
			avid J. Steadman	1656				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears	s on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN 1961 IN 1962	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. Itory period will ap ill, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MON to the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
·—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	<del>'=</del>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 and 10-21 is/are pending	in the applic	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 10-21 are subject to	restriction ar	nd/or election requirem	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Exami	iner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	oo ine alaanea actallea embe actor	101 4 1131 01 11	ie dorumoù dopies riot	icconvod.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT			ummary (PTO-413)				
	)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	. 0/05/00)	6)  Other:	•	- · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 1656

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 1-3 and 10-21 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's preliminary amendment to cancel claims 4-9 and 22-23, filed on 7/3/2003, is acknowledged.
- The specification is objected to as applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 121 as follows: An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification (37 CFR 1.78).

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 121 based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph.

### Election/Restrictions

- [4] Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-2, 10-11, and 20-21, drawn to an isolated peptide and a method for production thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 191.

Art Unit: 1656

- Claim 3, drawn to an isolated antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an agent that binds to a peptide, classified in class 530, subclass 789.
- IV. Claim 12, drawn to a method for detecting the presence of a peptide, classified in class 435, subclass 26.
- V. Claim 13, drawn to a method for detecting the presence of a nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VI. Claims 14-16, drawn to a method for identifying a modulator of or an agent that binds to a peptide, classified in class 435, subclass 26.
- VII. Claim 18, drawn to a method for treating a disease or condition, classified in class 514, subclass 789.
- VIII. Claim 19, drawn to a method for identifying a modulator of the expression of a peptide, classified in class 435, subclass 26.
- [5] The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- [6] The peptide of Group I, the antibody of Group II, and the pharmaceutical composition of Group III each comprises a chemically unrelated structure capable of separate manufacture, use and effect.
- [7] The peptide of Group I is unrelated to the method(s) of Groups V and VII as it is neither used nor made by the method(s) of Groups V and VII.
- [8] The peptide of Group I and the methods of Groups IV, VI, and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be

Art Unit: 1656

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the peptide of Group I can be used as an antigen in the production of antibodies.

- [9] The antibody of Group II is unrelated to the method(s) of Groups V-VIII as it is neither used nor made by the method(s) of Groups V-VIII.
- [10] The antibody of Group II and the method of Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group II can be used as an affinity reagent in the purification of the peptide of Group I.
- [11] The pharmaceutical composition of Group III is unrelated to the method(s) of Groups IV-V and VIII as it is neither used nor made by the method(s) of Groups IV-V and VIII.
- [12] The pharmaceutical composition of Group III and the methods of Groups VI-VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the

Art Unit: 1656

pharmaceutical composition of Group III can be used as an affinity reagent in the purification of the peptide of Group I.

- [13] The methods of Groups IV-VIII are independent as they comprise different steps, utilize different products, and yield different results.
- [14] MPEP § 803 sets forth two criteria for a proper restriction between patentably distinct inventions: (A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed and (B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner. As shown above, each of the inventions of Groups I-VIII are independent or distinct, thus satisfying the first criterion for a proper restriction. MPEP § 803 additionally states that a serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation either separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search. Each of the inventions requires a separate patent and non-patent literature search requiring a different text and/or sequence search for each Group and thus, co-examination of the inventions of Groups I-VIII would require a serious burden on the examiner.
- [15] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- [16] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship

Art Unit: 1656

must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Rejoinder

[17] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and

Art Unit: 1656

Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thurs, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Steadman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1656